

RatingsDirect®

Summary:

Sheldon, Iowa; General Obligation

Primary Credit Analyst:

Melody W Vinje, Centennial + 1 (303) 721 4163; melody.vinje@spglobal.com

Secondary Contact:

Andrew J Truckenmiller, Chicago + 1 (312) 233 7032; andrew.truckenmiller@spglobal.com

Table Of Contents

Credit Highlights

Outlook

Credit Opinion

Related Research

Summary:

Sheldon, Iowa; General Obligation

Credit Profile		
US\$3.5 mil GO cap ln nts ser 2022 due 06/01/2033		
Long Term Rating	A+/Stable	New
Sheldon GO (BAM)		
Unenhanced Rating	A+(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed
Sheldon GO (BAM)		
Unenhanced Rating	A+(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed

Many issues are enhanced by bond insurance.

Credit Highlights

- S&P Global Ratings assigned its 'A+' long-term rating to Sheldon, Iowa's \$3.5 million series 2022 general obligation (GO) capital loan notes.
- At the same time, S&P Global Ratings affirmed its 'A+' underlying rating (SPUR) on the city's existing GO debt.
- The outlook on all ratings is stable.

Security

The city's full-faith-credit-and-resources and unlimited ad valorem property tax pledges secure the series 2022 capital loan notes and existing debt. Officials intend to use the proceeds to finance the construction of a new water tower and street improvement projects.

Credit overview

Sheldon's financial health steadily improved in recent years, specifically the general fund balance, although still what we consider to be somewhat thin (\$1.3 million at year end fiscal 2021). The city built up reserves from a fund balance of \$363,000 in fiscal 2018, which we consider to be a nominally low level. Surplus results in fiscal 2021 reflect performance in line with budgeted assumption, higher revenues interest earned on cash accounts, and receipt of American Rescue Plan (ARP) funds. Based on the unaudited results for fiscal 2022, we anticipate financial performance will be consistent with recent years, and we believe that conservative budgeting will continue to generate balanced financial operations. Although the local economy is limited, management reports a rise in building permit revenue in fiscal years 2021 and 2022 stemming primarily from residential growth with some commercial development. In our view the city's debt profile is very weak, due to its increasingly high fixed costs and leveraged position. We believe the debt profile is unlikely to materially change in the near term as the city layers on more debt with future capital projects.

The 'A+' rating reflects our view of the city's:

Adequate economy with projected income levels below the national average;

- Positive operating results with growing reserves from surplus results;
- · Standard financial-management policies and practices under our Financial Management Assessment (FMA) methodology and strong institutional framework score; and
- · Very weak debt profile with additional debt plans.

Environmental, social, and governance

The city is currently engaged in a lawsuit that alleges that the city failed to follow due process of law as a direct result in a deficiency in public safety officer training. This situation is evolving and we will continue to monitor whether it represents an ongoing risk management, culture, and oversight governance weakness that we incorporate into our credit rating analysis, particularly should additional risk management concerns arise. However, we have analyzed the city's environmental and social risks relative to its economy, management, budgetary outcomes, and debt and liability profile, and view them as neutral within our credit analysis.

Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our expectation the city will generate at least balanced operations based on management's conservative budgeting practices, and unaudited fiscal 2022's positive results.

Downside scenario

We could lower the rating if reserves decrease to levels that we no longer consider strong due to capital spending or budgetary stress, specifically if reserves drop significantly below \$500,000 with no plan to replenish them.

Upside scenario

We could raise the rating if economic metrics increase to levels that we consider commensurate with those of higher-rated peers, coupled with more embedded and sustainable management policies and practices, while sustaining recent financial performance. Additionally, we would expect to see a reduction in the overall debt burden.

Credit Opinion

Trend of positive financial operations and building reserves

Our analysis includes adjustments for revenues and expenditures that we view as one-time in nature, and adjustments for recuring transfers. Fiscal 2021 resulted in about \$532,00 surplus after our adjustments. Management attributes the higher-than-budgeted surplus to favorable interest rates for cash accounts held with a local bank, and conservative revenue and expense assumptions.

Officials report fiscal 2022 (year-end June 30) trended well with the budget, and the city anticipates posting a general fund surplus. Management made midyear adjustments to account for increased costs due to inflation. The city will receive a total allocation of \$704,000 in ARP funds, which will be dedicated to the construction of the new water tower.

The fiscal 2023 budget is structured similarly to prior years, with no major budgetary pressures. Management anticipates fiscal 2023 will result is a smaller surplus than prior years. Overall, fiscal 2023 is reported to be in line with the budget.

The general fund relies mainly on property taxes (29%), charges for services (18%), and miscellaneous revenue (23%) to fund operations. The city has recently had a history of reporting positive general fund operations, and its other governmental funds have remained fairly steady with positive balances.

Sheldon's reserves are very strong on a cash basis, ending fiscal 2021 with \$1.3 million available for general fund operations, and \$2.1 million in total governmental cash and investments after removing unspent bond proceeds. The city has additional cash, approximately \$535,775 in fiscal 2021, for routine library, airport, park, and museum operations. Due to the potential to spend this down on capital projects, we have not included this cash in available fund balance.

Limited local economy, with some residential growth

Sheldon is in northwest Iowa and covers O'Brien County and Sioux County. O'Brien County is primarily agricultural. The city is home to an array of employers; leading employers include:

- Sanford Health Systems (325);
- Village Northwest Unlimited (285), rehabilitation services;
- · Van Wyk Trucking (282); and
- Rosenboom (280), a manufacturer of hydraulic cylinders.

Officials report on going residential development single family homes and multi-family units. Additionally, a new hotel is under construction.

Adequate financial-management policies that have produced consistently strong finances Policies include management's:

- · Predictable budget process that involves analysis of expenditures, major goals, and Iowa's revenue environment to validate assumptions;
- Monthly updates to the city council on budget-to-actual performance;
- · Lack of formal long-term financial projections;
- Annually updated capital planning that addresses timing and capital project costs;
- Internal investment-management policy with monthly performance-and-holdings reports to the council;
- · Lack of a formal debt-management policy; and
- Lack of a formal fund-balance policy, coupled with maintaining 25% of general fund expenditures in reserve for cash flow.

In addition, the city is managing its cyber risk by using a third party to manage its IT services.

The institutional framework score for Iowa municipalities is strong.

Very weak debt profile with additional debt plans

We calculate direct debt at \$19.9 million, approximately \$7.4 million of which the city's sewer enterprise fund supports. Officials currently plan to issue \$2.4 million in new GO debt in the next two years to finance a water system and street project costs. We estimate fixed costs will double from fiscal 2021-2023 due to a large initial principal payment of the series 2022 GO capital loan notes. However, based on the amortization schedule, principal payments will level out and decrease significantly in fiscal 2024.

Manageable pension and other postemployment benefits (OPEB) obligations with long-term pension funding volatility risk

We consider Sheldon's pension and OPEB costs modest as a share of total spending; costs are not likely to accelerate significantly during the next few fiscal years, especially due to the pension plan's relatively strong funding.

The city participates in:

- · Iowa Public Employees' Retirement System (IPERS), a multiple-employer, defined-benefit pension plan that uses reasonable assumptions, which was 83% funded, as of June 30, 2020, with a proportionate share of the plan's net pension liability of \$1.3 million; and
- Sheldon's single-employer health-care plan for retirees--plan retirees pay the full active premium, resulting in an implicit liability for the city; management finances the plan on a pay-as-you-go basis.

Sheldon's 2020 actuarially determined IPERS contribution fell slightly short of our minimum funding progress and status funding progress metrics. We generally expect progress toward full funding will be slower due to IPERS' level-percent amortization during a closed 26-year period. Furthermore, we think IPERS' 7% discount could lead to contribution volatility. We expect contributions will likely remain relatively stable. Because of IPERS' strong funding and contribution practices, we expect contributions will likely remain affordable.

Most recent	Historical information		
	2021	2020	2019
82.4			
74,835			
	5,301	5,338	5,306
	3.0		
396,701	336,584		
26.2			
	16.5	7.2	3.9
	2.7	14.1	(3.4)
	41.4	29.3	21.5
	1,335	827	637
	82.4 74,835 396,701	82.4 74,835 5,301 3.0 396,701 336,584 26.2 16.5 2.7	82.4 74,835 5,301 5,338 3.0 396,701 336,584 26.2 16.5 7.2 2.7 14.1

	Most recent	Historical information		
		2021	2020	2019
Adequate liquidity				
Total government cash % of governmental fund expenditures		22.3	62.2	63.2
Total government cash % of governmental fund debt service		53.0	330.2	139.0
Adequate management				
Financial Management Assessment	Standard			
Very weak debt & long-term liabilities				
Debt service % of governmental fund expenditures		15.1	18.8	45.5
Net direct debt % of governmental fund revenue	132.7			
Overall net debt % of market value	3.5			
Direct debt 10-year amortization (%)	61.0			
Required pension contribution % of governmental fund expenditures		2.1		
OPEB actual contribution % of governmental fund expenditures		0.0		

Data points and ratios may reflect analytical adjustments. EBI--Effective buying income. OPEB--Other postemployment benefits.

Related Research

- S&P Public Finance Local GO Criteria: How We Adjust Data For Analytic Consistency, Sept. 12, 2013
- Through The ESG Lens 3.0: The Intersection Of ESG Credit Factors And U.S. Public Finance Credit Factors, March 2, 2022
- 2020 Update Of Institutional Framework For U.S. Local Governments

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2022 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.